Reflections on Access to Substance Use Treatment

Legislative Program Review & Investigations Committee (PRI)

September 11, 2013

## Introduction

- Nonpartisan staff from legislature's bipartisan oversight committee
- In-depth evaluations
  Programs
  Policies

## Introduction

- Recent study: "Access to Substance Use Treatment for Insured Youth"
  - □Phase I: Insurance (Dec. 2012)
    - Mental health parity laws
    - Utilization review
    - Consumer assistance

Phase II: Treatment availability (Apr. – June 2013)

## Overview

- Information and PRI committee recommendations on areas task force is required to cover
- What has -
  - Already changed?Not progressed so far?Happened that is relevant?

## 1. Improving Screening, Early Intervention, and Treatment

#### What do we know?

Most minors have regular contact with PCP

PCPs do not routinely screen for BH problems with validated tools; exact scale is unknown

□ Many pressures on PCPs

## Screening Within Primary Care

Ways to Boost Screening	CT
Include in preparation programs	Has law; implementation unclear
Give providers education	An organization does this for children's providers; low participation
Have a consultation service	One recently created for children's providers

## Screening Within Primary Care

#### **PRI Recommendation**

State Alcohol and Drug Policy Council should work to ensure medical preparation programs offer substance use training

## Screening Within Primary Care

#### <u>Result</u>

- None: State agency resistance to reigniting ADPC, with wider mission (whole state's population)
  - No single state entity charged with overseeing MH / SU access, treatment, policy

## **Treatment Quality**

#### What do we know?

- Federal data indicate need for more adolescent-specific SU treatment
- Treatment rarely tailored to young adults

## **Treatment Quality**

#### **PRI Recommendation**

DCF and DMHAS should offer training, other resources to providers to ensure youth receive developmentally appropriate treatment

## **Treatment Quality**

#### <u>Result</u>

None yet

□ Sate agencies might not have resources

# 2. Closing Gaps in Private Insurance Coverage

#### What do we know?

#### □ Gaps in covered services

- 3/5 of major carriers do not cover certain DCF-developed and contracted in-home treatment models (e.g., MDFT)
- Supervised community living arrangements
- Care/case management (though given directly by insurer to limited number)
- Difficult to obtain coverage for residential treatment beyond four weeks

12

# 2. Closing Gaps in Private Insurance Coverage

- Other factors impact effective coverage
  MH parity laws
  Insurer criteria
  - Are applied to an individual situation to determine whether, how long to cover
  - Insurer networks
    - Little information collected; study data indicate differences

#### **PRI Recommendation Re: DCF Services**

- DCF should assess availability of its inhome models to commercially insured youth using data from contracted providers
  - Then propose ways to alleviate any costshifting found

#### <u>Result</u>

## Unclear; not addressed in agency's response to report

#### **PRI Recommendation Re: Services Gap**

- No other recommendations made in this area
  - □Could:
    - Mandate
    - State fund directly for all / change payment model for population

#### **PRI Recommendation Re: MH Parity**

CT Insurance Dept. (CID) should pick a method to require plans to demonstrate compliance

#### **MH Parity Result**

- Recommendation included in P.A. 13-3 (minor tweaks)
  - CID supposed to seek input from stakeholders by Sept. 15
- CID and DMHAS recently said in press they intend to push for clearer state law, in absence of final federal regulation

#### PRI Recommendations Re: Insurer Criteria

- Require SU treatment decisions be made:
  - □more quickly;
  - using appropriate criteria; and
  - □ by qualified personnel

#### **Insurer Criteria Result**

Many components included in P.A. 13-3 (some tweaks); also extended to include MH

□ Effective Oct. 1

#### **Related**

Unclear whether any conclusion of UConn Health Center's CID-contracted review of one carrier's BH protocol

#### PRI Recommendation Re: Insurer Networks

Require health carriers to report on:
 enrollees' access to SU treatment; and
 what the carrier is doing to improve access

#### **Insurer Network Result**

## None yet; report approved too late for legislation

## 3. Addressing Provider Capacity

#### What do we know?

□ Widely reported child psychiatrist shortage

□ Long waits for many levels of care

See Appendix G (Phase II report) for summary of capacity assessments

## **Provider Capacity**

#### **PRI Recommendations**

- Pediatric BH consultation service
- State agencies explore starting a BH urgent care center

## **Provider Capacity**

#### **Results**

- DCF reported is working on setting up consultation service
- No action on urgent care center

#### **Related**

 DPH facilities plan: Committees meeting
 CT Workforce Collaborative on BH: Currently inactive

## 7. Creating Central Info. Clearinghouse

#### What do we know?

- Several different SU / MH treatment inventories for people seeking treatment
- □ These locators often lack information on:
  - Which insurance is accepted
  - If there is space
  - Small outpatient providers

## 7. Creating Central Info. Clearinghouse

#### What do we know?

- CT Clearinghouse (DMHAS-funded) possibly could fill role
  - But currently limited to state-contracted or operated providers
  - Might not be widely known

## Central Info. Clearinghouse

#### **PRI Recommendation**

Designate and publicize an existing locator as the single locator for SU services

## Central Info. Clearinghouse

#### **Result**

None yet

## PRI Recommendations Not Yet Acted Upon

Improving screening, early intervention, and treatment

#### **1. Assess and improve medical provider training** for SU

- Could also look at BH provider training: Info. on appropriate treatment level
- 2. Train providers on developmentally appropriate treatment for youth

3. State workgroup to permanently oversee access to & quality of SU care

## PRI Recommendations Not Yet Acted Upon

Closing gaps in coverage

**4. Evaluate & solve cost-shifting** for DCF inhome treatment models

**5. Collect data** on insurer networks and access to care

6. Explore MH parity progress & ideas

## PRI Recommendations Not Yet Acted Upon

Addressing provider capacity

7. Explore BH urgent care center

Creating central info. clearinghouse

8. Select / develop locator (as part of clearinghouse); publicize

Reflections on Access to Substance Use Treatment

Legislative Program Review & Investigations Committee (PRI)

September 11, 2013